





DETECTION OF E. COLI AND SALMONELLA ORGANISMS IN CATTLE AND CAMEL MEAT

Edris, A., Hassan, M., Shaltout, F. and El-Hosseny, S.

Food Control Dept., Fac. Vet. Med., Benha University

ABSTRACT

One hundred and twenty random samples of cattle and camel meat (60 0f each) were collected from 3 different abattoirs namely Elbagour, Menouf and Shibin-Elkom (40 0f each) located in Menofia governorate. All collected samples were subjected to bacterial examination for detection of *E.coli* and *Salmonella* organisms The obtained results indicated that Enteropathogenic *E.coli* were serologically identified from the examined samples of cattle meat as O26: K60 (10%), O86: K61 (5%), O111: K58 (15%), O124: K72 (5%) & O128: K67 (5%) for Elbagour abattoir, O26: K60 (5%), O86: K61 (10%), O111: K58 (5%) & untypable (5%) for Menouf abattoir & O111: K58 (5%), O119: K69 (5%), O124: K72 (5%) and O128: K67 (5%) for Shibin Elkom abattoir. *Salmonella* organisms were isolated from 25%, 20% and 10% of the examined samples of cattle meat at Elbagour, Menouf, and Shibin Elkom abattoirs, respectively. In regard to camel meat, *Salmonellae* were detected in 20%, 10% and 5% of the examined samples of camel meat at Elbagour, Menouf, and Shibin Elkom abattoirs, respectively. Furthermore, the identified serotypes were *S enteritidis*, *S. typhimurium*, *S. muenster* and *S. virchow*

KEY WORDS: Cattle meat, camel meat, E.coli, Salmonella.

(BVMJ-24(2): 198-204, 2013)

1- INTRODUCTION

icrobiological contamination of carcasses occurs mainly during processing and handling, such as evisceration, storage skinning, distribution at slaughterhouses and retail establishments (Abdallah et al., 2009). Every treatment done to the food animal carcass from the point of slaughtering until it ready for consumption, including preparation of the carcass, transportation and handling, will add to the bacterial load of its meat (Ali, 1992). The external contamination of meat constitutes constant problem in most developing countries, in the abattoir itself where there are large numbers of potential sources of contamination by microorganisms (Davis et al., 2002). Historically, Salmonella typhimurium and Salmonella enteritidis have been the most frequently serotypes which act as causative agents of human gastroenteritis throughout the world. An

annual average of 186 cases was recorded during 1982 -1986 in Norway (Sierra et al., 1995). Salmonella organisms may be commonly carried by human and animal, when those bacteria are multiplied in the intestine they become pathogenic and causing intestinal disorder and slight or sever infection and may even cause death (Frederick et al., 2010). The symptoms of salmonellosis include diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, fever and abdominal cramps (Kahraman et al., 2005). In general, EPEC strains are the major cause for many infantile diarrhea, in typical cases, symptoms appear within 12 to 36 hours. Clinically, EPEC illness is characterized by fever, nausea, vomition and watery stools, which occasionally contain mucous, but without gross blood (Jay, 1996). Furthermore, EPEC was implicated in cases of gastroenteritis, cystitis, colitis, pyelonephritis, and peritonitis as well as food poisoning outbreaks (Sumner et al., 2003). Therefore, EPEC showed to be the first bacterial cause of diarrhea in infants and its proportion may reach 54% (Varnam and Evans, 1991). The presence of *E. coli* is thought to give an indication of fecal contamination (enteric pathogens in particular) than the entire group of *Enterobacteriaceae* (Kalchayanand *et al.*, 2007).

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS:

2.1. Collection of samples:

A grand total of 120 random samples of cattle and camel meats (60 0f each) were collected from 3 different abattoirs namely Elbagour, Menouf and Shibin-Elkom (40 of each) located in Menofia governorate. In other words, each abattoir was represented by 20 samples of cattle meat and other 20 samples of camel meat. The collected samples were subjected to the following examinations.

2.2. Screening for Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli:

2.2.1. *Pre-enrichment* (8):

From the original dilution, one ml was inoculated into MacConkey broth tubes supplemented with inverted Durham's tubes. Inoculated tubes were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

2.2.2. Enrichment broth:

One ml from positive MacConkey tube was inoculated into another MacConkey broth tubes and incubated at 44°C for 24 hours.

2.2.3. Plating media:

Loopfuls from positive MacConkey broth tubes were separately streaked onto Eosin Methylene Blue agar medium (E.M.B.), which was then incubated at 37°C for24 hours. Suspected colonies were metallic green in color. Suspected colonies were purified and inoculated into slope nutrient agar tubes for further identification.

2.2.4. Morphological identification:

Films of pure suspected cultures were stained with grams stain and examined microscopically (ICMSF, 1996).

2.2.5. Biochemical identification:

It was carried out according to (MacFaddin, 1976).

2.2.6. Serodiagnosis of E. coli:

The isolates were serologically identified according to (Cliver, 1990) by using rapid diagnostic *E. coli* antisera sets (DIFCO Laboratories, DetroitMichigan 48232-7058, USA) for diagnosis of the Enteropathogenic types.

2.3. Screening for Salmonellae:

2.3.1. Pre-enrichment broth:

Twenty five grams of examined samples were homogenized in 225 ml of sterile peptone water and incubated at 37°C for 18 hours.

2.3.2. Enrichment broth:

One ml of the original dilution was inoculated into 9 ml Rappaport Vassilidis broth tube, and then the tube was incubated at 43°C for 24 hours (ICMSF, 1996).

2.3.3. Selective Plating:

Xylose lysine desoxychoclate agar (X.L.D) was used. Loopfuls from the inoculated tubes were separately streaked onto X.L.D. agar medium and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

2.3.4. Serological identification of Salmenollae:

Isolates proved biochemically be Salmonella microorganisms were subjected to serological identification according to Kauffman white scheme (Kauffman, 1974) by using rapid diagnostic Salmonella antisera sets (Welcome Diagnostic, a Division of the Wellcome Foundation Limited, Dartford England DA15 AH).

3. RESULTS:

Table (1): Incidence and serotyping of Enteropathogenic E.coli isolated from the examined samples of cattle meat at Menofia abattoirs (n=20)

Abattoir E.coli	Elbagour		Menou	Menouf		Elkom	Strain Characteristics	
Strains	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
O26 : K60(B6)	2	10	1	5	-	-	EHEC	
O86 : K61(B7)	1	5	2	10	-	-	EPEC	
O111 : K58(B9)	3	15	1	5	1	5	EHEC	
O119 : K69(B19)	-	-	-	-	1	5	EPEC	
O124 : K72(B17)	1	5	-	-	-	-	EIEC	
O128: K67(B12)	1	5	-	-	1	5	ETEC	
Untypable	-	-	1	5	-	-		
Total	8	40	5	25	3	15		

Table (2): Incidence and serotyping of Enteropathogenic *E.coli* isolated from the examined samples of camel meat at Menofia abattoirs (n=20).

Abattoir E.coli Strains	Elbagour		Menouf		ShibinElkom		Strain
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Characteristics
O26 : K60(B6)	2	10	1	5	1	5	EHEC
O86 : K61(B7)	-	-	1	5	-	-	EPEC
O111 : K58(B9)	2	10	2	10	1	5	EHEC
O124 : K72(B17)	1	5	-	-	-	-	EIEC
O128: K67(B12)	1	5	-	-	1	5	ETEC
Total	6	30	4	20	3	15	_

Table (3): Incidence and serotyping of *Salmonella* organisms isolated from the examined samples of cattle meat at Menofia abattoirs (n=20).

Abattoir	Elbagour		Menou	Menouf		Elkom	Antigenic Structure	
Serotypes	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	O	Н
S.enteritidis	2	10	1	5	1	5	1,9,12	g,m: 1,7
S. typhimurium S. muenster S. virchow	1 1 1	5 5 5	2 1 -	10 5	1 - -	5 -	1,4,5,12 3,10,15,34 6,7,14	i:1,2 e,h:1,5 r:1,2
Total	5	25	4	20	2	10		

Table (4): Incidence and serotyping of *Salmonella* organisms isolated from the examined samples of camel meat at Menofia abattoirs (n=20).

Abattoir	Elbago	Elbagour		Menouf		Shibin	Antigenic Structure	
Serotypes	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	O	Н
S.enteritidis	2	10	1	5	-	-	1,9,12	g,m:1,7
S. typhimurium	1	5	1	5	1	5	1,4,5,12	i:1,2
S. muenster	1	5	-	-	-	-	3,10,15,34	e,h: 1,5
Total	4	20	2	10	1	5		

According to table (1), Enteropathogenic E.coli were serologically identified as O_{26} : K_{60} (10%), O_{86} : K_{61} (5%), O_{111} : K_{58} (15%), O_{124} : K_{72} (5%) and O_{128} : K_{67} (5%) for Elbagour abattoir. While, Enteropathogenic E.coli serotypes O_{26} : K_{60} (5%), O_{86} : K_{61} (10%), O_{111} :

K₅₈ (5%) and untypable (5%) were identified from the examined samples of cattle meat at Menouf abattoir. In regard to Shibin Elkom abattoir, *E.coli* O₁₁₁: K₅₈ (5%), O₁₁₉: K₆₉ (5%), O₁₂₄: K₇₂ (5%) and O₁₂₈: K₆₇ (5%) were detected in the examined samples of cattle meat. Generally, Enteropathogenic *E.coli* was recovered from 40%, 25% and 15% of the examined samples of cattle meat at Elbagour, Menouf, and Shibin Elkom

abattoirs, respectively. Table (2) indicated that Enteropathogenic *E.coli* organisms were isolated from 30%, 20% and 15% of the examined samples of camel meat at Elbagour, Menouf, and Shibin Elkom abattoirs, respectively. The identified serovars of pathogenic *E.coli* were O₂₆: K₆₀ (10%), O₁₁₁: K₅₈ (10%), O₁₂₄: K₇₂ (5%) and O₁₂₈: K₆₇ (5%) for Elbagour abattoir, O₂₆: K₆₀ (5%), O₈₆: K₆₁ (5%) and O₁₁₁: K₅₈ (10%) for Menouf abattoir and O₂₆: K₆₀ (5%), O₁₁₁: K₅₈ (5%), O₁₁₁: K₅₈ (5%) for Shibin Elkom abattoir.

Results achieved in Table (3) indicated that *Salmonella* organisms were isolated from 25%, 20% and 10% of the examined samples of cattle meat at Elbagour, Menouf, and Shibin Elkom abattoirs,

respectively. Salmonellae could be identified serologically as Salmonella enteritidis (10%), Salmonella typhimurium (5%), Salmonella muenster (5%) and Salmonella virchow (5%) for Elbagour abattoir, Salmonella enteritidis (5%), Salmonella typhimurium (10%) and Salmonella muenster (5%) for Menouf abattoir and Salmonella enteritidis (5%) and Salmonella typhimurium (5%) for Shibin Elkom abattoir.

According to table (4), Salmonellae were isolated from 20%, 10% and 5% of the examined samples of camel meat at Elbagour, Menouf, and Shibin Elkom abattoirs, respectively. Salmonella organisms were serologically identified as Salmonella enteritidis (10%), Salmonella typhimurium (5%)and Salmonella muenster (5%) for Elbagour abattoir and Salmonella enteritidis (5%)Salmonella typhimurium (5%) for Menouf abattoir. Only one strain of Salmonella typhimurium (5%) could be serologically identified from the examined samples of camel meat at Shibin Elkom abattoir.

4. DISCUSSION

According to ((ICMSF, 1996), O128 serotype of Ε. coli is called Enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC), while strain cause dysentery like syndrome (O124) are known as Entero-invasive E. coli (EIEC). While, strains causing hemorrhagic colitis (O111) is recognized as Entero hemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC). In 1971, EIEC (O124) was responsible for gastroenteritis and dysentery in 387 persons. The severity of the disease may vary from a mild form resembling Shigella sonnei infection to an extreme form resembling classical dysentery. Clinically, the illness due to EIEC is marked by fever; sever abdominal cramps and watery diarrhea followed by gross bloody diarrhea (Yadav et al., 2006). On the other hand, EHEC (O111) was implicated in 16 outbreaks of diarrhea in young children and infants. Illness caused by EHEC is

typically quit sever and characterized by sudden onset of sever crampy abdominal pain followed by watery diarrhea, which later becomes grossly bloody. Typically, there is little or no fever and the duration of illness is 2 to 9 days. Death rate in some reported outbreaks may reach 36%. While in others no death had occurred (Sumner *et al.*, 2003).

For long time it was through that it was necessary to ingest 10⁵ or more cells of *Salmonella* per gram of food to cause disease in man. However, studies in recent year found that as low as 3-10 cells / gm cause disease. *Salmonella typhimurium* is more widely distributed than any other serovars, this organism cause sever outbreaks of salmonellosis in all kinds of animals and was frequently the cause of both sporadic cases and outbreaks of gastroenteritis in man allover the world (ICMSF, 1996).

5. REFERENCES

- 1. Abdallah, M.A.; Suliman, S.E.; Ahmed, D.E. and Bakhiet, A.O. (2009): Estimation of bacterial contamination of indigenous bovine carcasses in Khartoum (Sudan). Afri. J. Microbio. Res., 3(12):882-886.
- 2. Ali, N.H.; Farooqui, A.; Khan, A.; Khan, A.Y. and Kazmi, S.U. (2010): Microbial contamination of raw meat and its environment in retail shops in Karachi, Pakistan. J. Infect. Dev. Ctries., 4(6):382-388.
- 3. Ali, M.H.I. (1992): Hygienic quality of mutton carcasses in Sharkia province. M.V.Sc., Fac. Vet. Med. Zagazig Uni.
- 4. Awad, F.L.; George, M.E.; Bishar, N.B. and Yousef, R.H. (1983): Degeneration processing meat from normal and diseased ruminant. J. Egypt Agri. Res. Rev., 61 (7): 267-273
- 5. Cliver, D. O. (1990): Food borne disease. 4th Ed. Academic Press

- Int., San Diego, USA. foods 5: Microbiological specifications of food pathogens. 1st Ed, Blackie Academic & Professional, London, UK, pp 217-264
- 6. Davis, J.R.; Apple, J.K; Hellwig, D.H.; Kegley E.B. and Pohlman, F.W. (2002): The effects of feeding broiler litter on microbial contamination of beef carcasses. J. Bioresour. Technol., 84: 191-196.
- 7. Frederick, A.; Ayum, T. G.; Gifty, A.A. and Samuel, A. (2010): Microbial quality of chevon and mutton sold in Tamale Metropolis of Northern Ghana. J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manag., 14 (4): 53 55.
- 8. International Commission and Microbiological Specification for Foods "ICMSF" (1996): Salmonellae. In: Roberts, T. A., Baird-Parker, A. C., andTompkin, R. B. eds. Microorganisms In foods 5: Microbiological specifications of food pathogens. 1st Ed, Blackie Academic & Professional London, UK, pp 217-264.
- 9. Jay, J. M. (1996): Modern Food Microbiology. Fifth Edition. Published by Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York.
- 10. Kahraman, T.; Buyukunal, S. K. and Cetin, O. (2005): Microbiological contamination of lamb carcasses at abattoirs of Istanbul. Vet. Glasnik, 59 (3-4): 437 444.
- 11. Kalchayanand, N.; Arthur, T. M.; Bosilevac, J. M.; Brichta-Harhay, D. M.; Guerini, M. N. and Shackelford, D. (2007): Microbiological characterization of lamb carcasses at commercial processing plants in the United States. Journal of Food Protect, 70:1811–1819.
- 12. Kauffman, (1974): Serological tests for identification of Salmonellae.

- Waverly Press, Iunc. Baltimore Med., USA.
- 13. MacFaddin, F. (1976): Biochemical tests for identification of medical bacteria. Waverly Press, Iunc. Baltimore Med., USA.
- 14. Moustafa, M.M.M. (1993):
 Bacteriological studies on certain
 Grame negative food born
 pathogens. Ph.D., Thesis, military
 institute of Health and
 Epidemiology, Military Medical
 Academy.
- 15. Nashid- Heba, F. (1993): Salmonella and Enteropathogenic E.coli serotype in meat and meat products. M.V.Sc. Thesis (Meat Hygiene) Fac. Vet. Med. Moshtohor, Zagazig Uni.
- 16. Sierra, M. L.; Gonzalez-Fandor, E.; Garcia-lopez, M. L.; Feernandez, M.L.G. and Pieto, M. (1995): Prevalence of *Salmonella*, *Yersinia*, *Aeromonas*, *Campylobacter* and cold growing *Escherchia coli* on freshly dressed lamb. J. Food Protec., 58:1183–1185.
- 17. Sumner, J.; Petrenas, E.; Dean, P.; Dowsett, P.; West, G.; Wiering, R. and Raven, G. (2003): Microbial Contamination on beef and sheep carcasses in South Australia. International Journal of Food Microbiol. 81: 255-260.
- 18. Varnam, A. H. and Evans, M. G. (1991): *Salmonella*. In: Food borne pathogens. An illustrated text. Eds: Varnam A.H. and Evans M.G. Wolfe Publishing Ltd, Aylesbury, England.
- 19. Yadav, M.M.; Tale, S.; Sharda, R.; Sharma, V.; Tiwari, S. and Garg, U.K. (2006): Bacteriological quality of sheep meat in Mhow town of India. Inter. J. Food Sci. Technol., 41: 1234–1238.







مدى تواجد ميكروبات الإيشيريشيا كولاى والسالمونيلا فى لحوم الماشية والجمال فى مجازر محافظة المنوفية

أبو بكر مصطفى أدريس، محمد أحمد حسن، فهيم عزيز الدين شلتوت، سلامه الحسيني عطية قسم الرقابة الصحية على اللحوم ومنتجاتها – كلية الطب البيطري – جامعة بنها

الملخص العربي

أجرى هذا البحث عن الحالة الصحية للحوم داخل المجازر في محافظة المنوفية وعليه تم تجميع العينات من ثلاثة مجازر وهم مجزر شبين الكوم ومجزر الباجور ومجزر منوف وكان عدد العينات هو 120 عينة تم تجميع 40 عينة من كل مجزر عشرون منهم للابقار وعشرون منهم للجمال وأجرى عليهم الاختبارات الميكروبيولوجية والكيميائية. وقد أوضحت النتائج أن البكتيريا المعوية الممرضة اى كولاى تم عزلها سيرولوجيا من عينات لحوم الابقار بنسب مختلفة و هي في مجزر الباجور :802 K60 (10%), 086: K61 (5%), 0111: K58 (15%), 0124: K72 (5%) هجزر الباجور :802 K67 (5%) هوالاجمالي 25% (5%) في مجزر منوف والاجمالي 25% (5%), 0111: K58 (5%), 0119: K69 (5%), and 0128: K67 (5%) هيز 026: K60 (5%), 0119: K69 (5%), and 0128: K67 (5%) هيز 026: K60 (10%), 0111: K58 (10%), 0124: K72 (5%) همزر الباجور (5%), 0111: K58 (10%), 0124: K72 (5%) هي مجزر الباجور (5%), 0111: K58 (10%), 0111: K58 (5%), 0111: K58 (5%) في مجزر شبين الكوم على الترتيب وبالنظرة الى لحوم الجمال وجدت السالمونيلا بنسب 20% (10%) و 5% في العينات المختبرة في كل من مجزر الباجور ومجزر منوف وشبين الكوم على الترتيب علاوة على المعزولات المعرفة كانت العامونيلا انترتيديس وسالمونيلا تانوغي ميوريم وسالمونيلا مونستر وسالمونيلا فيرشو.

(مجلة بنها للعلوم الطبية البيطرية: عدد 25(2):198-204, ديسمبر 2013)